Doc 23 Entered 09/30/08 14:37:07 Page 1 of 21

1		ENEVENTO, Esq. Bar No. 003676	E-FILED: 9-30-08
2	ROBER	TSON & BENEVENTO	
, 3		st Warm Springs as, NV 89119	
4		702) 433-2000	
. 5	Email: g	eneraldelivery@nevlawyers v for Debtor(s)	<u>.com</u>
6		UNITED STATES E	SANKRUPTCY COURT
7			
8		DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
9	In Re:) Case No. BKS-08-18330BAM) Chapter 13
10	JOE GH	OWARD LINE M HOWARD	Hearing Date: 11-6-08
11	KAIREK	INE W HOWARD) Hearing Time: 3:00 pm)
12		Debtor(s).))
13))
14	МОТ	TON TO VALUE COLLATERAL	"STRIP OFF" AND MODIFY RIGHTS OF
15		BANK OF AMERICA PURSUAL	NT TO 11 U.S.C. §506(a) AND §1322
16			
			and through attorney Sam Benevento hereby
17	move this	s Court for its Order valuing collateral	and modifying the rights of Creditor Bank of
18	America	("Bank of America") pursuant to 11 U	J.S.C. §506(a), and §1322, and Bankruptcy Rules
19	3012 and	9014. In furtherance of this motion,	Debtors state as follows:
20	1.	Debtors filed the above captioned C	hapter 13, Case Number 08-18330BAM on July
21		28, 2008.	
22	2.	On the petition date, Debtors owned	real property located at 270 Hampton Ridge,
23		Henderson, NV 89002 (hereinafter t	
24	3.		,000.00 as of the petition date. See appraisal
25		attached hereto as Exhibit "1".	ovolvo as of the pention date. See appraisat
26	4.		
27	4 .		was encumbered by a First Trust Deed in favor of
		Chase Manhattan Mortgage securing	g a note with a principal balance of \$326,176.00.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 5. As of the petition date, no equity existed in the Property above the claim of Chase Manhattan Mortgage with respect to the First Trust Deed.
- 6. Bank of America holds a Second Trust Deed securing a note with a principal balance of \$55,321.00. This Second Trust Deed was wholly unsecured on the petition date and if the Property were to be sold at auction, Bank of America would receive nothing with respect to the Second Trust Deed. This Second Trust Deed was recorded in the Office of the Clark County Recorder as instrument 20070808-0004298 on August 8, 2007.
- 7. The Debtors therefore take the position that Bank of America's note secured by Second Trust Deed is unsecured and should be reclassified as a general unsecured claim to receive pro rata with other general unsecured creditors through the Debtors' Chapter 13 Plan.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

In In re Zimmer, 313 F.3d 1220 (9th Cir. 2002), the Court stated that a wholly unsecured lien holder's claim can be modified and reclassified as a general unsecured claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §506(a), despite the anti-modification language in §1322(b)(2). Specifically, the Court held:

> Section 506(a) divides creditors' claims into "secured...claims" and "unsecured claims." Although the conventional interpretation of "secured" might include any claim in which the creditor has a security interest in the debtor's property, § 506(a) makes clear that the status of a claim depends on the valuation of the property. An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest ... is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property ... and is an unsecured claim to the extent that the value of such creditor's interest ... is less than the amount of such allowed claim

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

To put it more simply, a claim such as a mortgage is not a "secured claim" to the extent that it exceeds the value of the property that secures it. Under the Bankruptcy Code, "secured claim" is thus a term of art; not every claim that is secured by a lien on property will be considered a "secured claim." Here, it is plain that PSB Lending's claim for the repayment of its loan is an unsecured claim, because its deed of trust is junior to the first deed of trust. and the value of the loan secured by the first deed of trust is greater than the value of the house.

Since Bank of America's Second Trust Deed is wholly unsecured (in that there is no equity above the first mortgage in the Property), this Court should reclassify Bank of America's second mortgage claim to a general unsecured claim to be receive pro rata with like unsecured creditors. Bank of America should also be stripped of its secured rights under State law and the recorded Second Trust Deed should be expunged from the county records to give effect to this Court's order.

Moreover, Debtors may bring a motion to "strip off" Bank of America's Second Trust Deed and are not required to file an adversary proceeding. See In re Williams, 166 B.R. 615 (Bankr.E.D.Va.1994), In re Fuller, 255 B.R. 300 (Bankr.W.D.Mich.2000), In re Hoskins, 262 B.R. 693 (Bankr.E.D.Mich.2001), In re King, 290 B.R. 641 (Bankr.C.D.III.2003), In re Millspaugh, 302 B.R. 90 (Bankr.D.Idaho 2003), Dickey v. Ben. Fin. (In re Dickey) 293 B.R. 360 (Bankr.M.D.Pa.2003), In re Hill, 304 B.R. 800 (Bankr.S.D.Ohio 2003); In re Sadala 294 B.R. 180 (Bankr.M.D.Fla.2003), In re Fisher, 289 B.R. 544 (Bankr.W.D.N.Y.2003), In re Robert, 313 B.R. 545 (Bankr.N.D.N.Y.2004), In re Bennett, 312 B.R. 843 (Bankr.W.D.Ky.2004).

WHEREFORE, Debtors pray that this Court:

- 1. Find that Bank of America is not a holder of a lien on the Property with respect to the Second Trust Deed.
- 2. Immediately avoid, "Strip off", extinguish and expunge from the County Recorder Bank of America's wholly unsecured Second Trust Deed from the Property pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 506(a);

3.	Reclassify Bank of America's claim as a general unsecured claim to be paid pro
rata with other	general unsecured creditors through the debtor's chapter 13 plan:

Such other relief the Court finds appropriate. 4.

Dated: September 2, 2008

/s/ Sam Benevento, Esq. Sam Benevento, Esq. Attorney for Debtor(s)

APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY
LOCATED AT: 270 Hampton Ridge Court Amber Ridge at Mission Hills Phase 3, Plat Book 119, Page 4, Lot 62, Block 2 Henderson, NV 89002-9258
FOR: Howard, Katherine 270 Hampton Ridge Court, Henderson, NV 89002
AS OF: July 10, 2008
BY: Travis T. Gliko
EXHIBIT/

Case 08-18330-bam Doc 23 Entered 09/30/08 14:37:07 Page 6 of 21

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report File# 0081711 The purpose of this summary appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the market value of the subject property. Property Address 270 Hampton Ridge Court City Henderson State NV Zp Code 89002-9258 Owner of Public Record Howard, Katherine Вопоwer Howard, Katherine County Clark Legal Description Amber Ridge at Mission Hills Phase 3, Plat Book 119, Page 4, Lot 62, Block 2 R.E. Taxes \$ 3,857.38 Assessor's Parcel # 179-31-812-017 Tax Year 2008 Neighborhood Name Amber Ridge at Mission Hills Map Reference Metro Map 88-86 Census Tract 0053.45 Occupant 🗵 Owner 🔲 Tenant 🔲 Vacant Special Assessments \$ N/A PUD HOA\$ 22.00 per year | per month Property Rights Appraised X Fcc Simple Leasehold Other (describe) Assignment Type 🔲 Purchase Transaction 📋 Relinance Transaction 🗵 Other (describe) Bankrupcy ender/Client Howard, Katherine Address 270 Hampton Ridge Court, Henderson, NV 89002 is the subject property currently offered for sale or has it been offered for sale in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal? Yes X No Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and date(s). Assessor, MLS I 🔲 did 🔛 did not analyze the contract for sale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain the results of the analysis of the contract for sale or why the analysis was not performed. N/A Contract Price S N/A Date of Contract N/A is the property seller the owner of public record? [] Yes [] No. Data Source(s) N/A Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sale concessions, gift or downpayment assistance, etc.) to be paid by any party on behalf of the borrower? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe the items to be paid. N/A Note: Race and the racial composition of the neighborhood are not appraisal factors. Neighborhood Characteristics One-Unit Housing Trends One-Unit Housing Present Land Use % Urban 🔯 Suburban 🔲 Rura! Property Values Increasing Stable Dectrino
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □ PRICE AGE One-Unit 60 % Built-Up Over 75 Growth Rapid Over 75% 🔀 25-75% Rapid 🔯 Stable Under 25% Dernand/Supply Shortage In Balance
Slow Marketing Time Under 3 mths 3 3-6 mths 🗌 in Balance 🔀 Over Supply \$ (000) 2-4 Unit (715) 5% Over 6 mths 205 Low Multi-Family 5% New Neighborhood Boundaries The Subjects' Market is bounded to the North by Hwy 95, South by Ray Blvd, 585+ High Commercia: 12 5 % East by Greenway Pkwy, and West by Calvert Street. Pred 25 % 315 Other Neighborhood Description The subject is located within close proximity to recreational facilities as well as parks. Employment centers are close by along with schools and major highways. No adverse factors affecting marketability were noted at time of inspection. Commercial uses are tocated along main routes and have no negative impact. Market Conditions (including support for the above conclusions) Subject's market area activity is declining at this time. Prices appear to be softening due to over supply. Conventional financing is tightening, causing a smaller group of qualified buyers. Units typically sell within 1-180 day time frame if competitively priced and marketed properly. Lone discounts, interest buydowns, and concessions are typical. Dimensions Refer to Plat Map Area 6,098 SF Shape Irregular View Average
 Specific Zoning Classification RS-6
 Zoning Description
 Single Family Residential

 Zoning Compliance
 ☑ Legal (☐ Legal Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use) ☐ No Zoning ☐ Degal (describe)
 Specific Zoning Classification RS-6 is the highest and best use of subject property as improved (or as proposed per plans and specifications) the present use? 🛛 📉 Yes 📑 No. If No. describe Utilities Public Other (describe) Public Other (describe) Off-site Improvements - Type Private Electricity Street Asphalt Water Sanitary Sewer 🖂 Aley None FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area 🔲 Yes 🔀 No FEMA Flood Zone X FEMA Map # 32003C2955E FEMA Map Date 9/27/2002 ⊠ Yes Are the utilities and off-sile improvements typical for the market area? No II No, describe Are there any adverse site conditions or external factors (easements, encroachments, environmental conditions, land uses, etc.)? Yes No If Yes, describe The appraiser has no knowledge of any environmental conditions and is not an expert in environmental assessments. General Description Foundation Exterior Description materials/condition interior materials/condition Units 🗵 One 🔲 One with Accessory Unit Concrete Slab Crawf Space Foundation Walls Conc./Good Floors Cpt,Tile/Good # of Stories Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Wats Walls Stucco/Good Drywal/Good Type 🔀 Det. 🔛 Alt. 🔝 S-DeL/End Unit Basement Area None Sq.fl. Roof Surface Tile/Good Trim/Finish Wood/Good Existing [Proposed Under Const. Basement Finish % Gutters & Downspouts O/H/Good Bath Floor Tile/Good Design (Style) Outside Entry/Exit Conv./Good Sump Pump Window Type Bath Wainscol Fiberglass/Good Alum Slid/Good Evidence of Infestation None Obsv Storm Sastvinsulated Thermal/Good Year Built 2006 Car Storage 1 None Effective Age (Yrs) Damoness Settlement 1 Year Screens Oriveway # of Cars Yes/Good Altic None Heating 🔀 FWA 📙 HWBB 🦳 Radiant Amenities Woodstove(s) # Driveway Surface Concrete Drop Stair Stairs Fireplace(s) # ⊠ Garage Other Fuel Gas X Fence Block # of Cars Floor Scuttle Patin/Deck Cov Cooling [X] Central Air Conditioning # of Cars Porch Carport Finished Healed Individual ☐ Otther Pool Spa 0ther X Att. Det. Built-In Appliances [P] Retrigerator [X] Range/Oven [X] Dishwasher [X] Disposal [X] Microwave [P] Washer/Dryer ☐ Other (describe) Fipished area above grade contains: 7 Rooms 4 Bedrooms 2.50 Bath(s) 2,560 Square Feet of Gross Living Area Above Grade Additional features (special energy efficient items, etc.). 50 gallon water heator, rear fenced yard. The subject is adequately maintained resulting an effective age of 1 year. Describe the condition of the property (including needed repairs, deterioration, renovations, remodeling, etc.). The subject is considered to be of average quality construction, in overall good condition. No external or functional inadequacies observed at time of inspection. Physical depreciation calculated using the age/life method. Roof cover appears to be in good condition. Floor plan is adequate Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse conditions that affect the Evability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property? Yes 🔀 No ii Yes, describe Does the property generally conform to the neighborhood (functional utility, style, condition, use, construction, etc.)? X Yes No If No, describe

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report File # 0081711

	There are 5+- comparab	ie proj	perties current	y olicre	d for s	ale in '	the subjec	l neighborh	ood ra	nging ir	price	from \$ 250,	000		10\$ 38	9.900 .
	There are 20+- comparab	le sale	s in the subje	t neighl	borhood	within	the past t	weive mon	ths ran	ging In	sale pr	ice from \$ 25	5.00	0		84,500 .
	FEATURE	3	SUBJECT				LE SALE &		1			LE SALE # 2				LE SALE #3
	Address 270 Hampton Ric	dge C	Court	1158	Caive	rt Str	eet		15 S	turaning	g Surr	ımit Avenue		226 I	Patti Ann W	
i	Henderson, NV 8	39002	2-9258	Heno	lerson					lerson				l	ferson	
	Predmity to Subject	1		0.84	miles	NW				miles					miles N	
Ì	Sale Price	s	N/A		: :	11.11	s	325,000				\$ 320	,000		V	\$ 300,000
Ì	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	İ\$	sq.(I	. S	115.86	so.ft.	1		_	26.73	_			\$	114.64 sq.ft.	
Ì	Data Source(s)			_			DOM 5					OOM 83			# 807911	
i	Verification Source(s)						10-004					14-002			# 179-31-5	
i	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	ŇĒ	SCRIPTION		SCRIPTI			djustment		SCRIPT		+(-) \$ Adjusti	nord		SCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
	Sales or Financing			VAF			1,179.	n jose nom	FHA			+ (-) 6 Valaza	I KAR	FHA		T(-) S Aujusunan
	Concessions				Sir Cı	nteh	j	-5,525								
	Date of Sale/Time			_	7/2008		-	-3,020		7/2008			,zou		Sir Cntrb	-8,700
	Location	Pube	urban	Subu		<u>. </u>	 -				·				1/2008	
H	Leasehold/Fee Simole	-		_					Subu					Subu		
H	Site	•	Simple		Simple	1				Simple	3				Simple	
	View		8 SF	4,792					6,534					6,006		
		Aver		Avera		-			Aven					Aven		
H	Design (Style)		v/Good		/Good	<u></u>			_	/Goo	<u>d</u>				JGood	ļ
H	Ouality of Construction	Aver		Avera			<u> </u>		Avera					Avera		<u> </u>
H	Actual Age	2006		2006			ļ. —		2005					2002		
ı	Condition	Good		Good			 		Goog					Good		
١	Above Grade		Borns, Baths		Bdrms.		 			Bdrms.					Borms. Baths	
ı	Room Court	7	4 2.50			2.50	ļ		8		2.50			8	4 2.50	
ı	Gross Living Area	<u> </u>	2,560 sq.lt		2,805	\$Q.H.	ļ	-11,025		2,525	\$q.lt.		0		2,617 sq.ft.	
ı	Basement & Finished	None		None	t		1		None				- 7	None		
	Rooms Below Grade	N/A		N/A					Ņ/A					N/A		
3	Functional Utility	Aver		Avera					Avera	ige				Avera	ge	
1	Heating/Cooling	_	VA/Cent	•	A/Cen					A/Cer				GFW	A/Cent	
4	Energy Efficient Items		e Known		Know	m				Know	m				Known	
	Garage/Carport		ige 3	Gara				+3,000						Gara	ge 2	+3,000
-	Porch/Patio/Deck			Conc				+2,000	Cove	red Pa	atio			Cove	red Patio	
	Balcony, Fireplace		laic, No FP) FP				ny, FF	21	4	,500	No B	alc, No FP	
	ini/Ext Features/Upgrades	Good		Supe				-6,000				3	,000	Inferi	or	+11,000
	Pool, Spa	Pool,	<u>, Spa</u>	Pool,						No S					ool, Spa	+5,000
	Nel Adjustment (Total)					<u> </u>	\$	-17,550			_	\$3	,780			\$ 10,300
м.	Adjusted Sale Price			Net Ad		5.4 %			Net Ad		1.2 %			Net Ad		
	ol Comparables			Gross		8.5 %	\$	307,450	Gross.	Adj.	4.3 %	\$ 316	,220	Gross.	Adj. 9.2%	\$ 310,300
2	l 🔀 dið 🔲 dið not research	the sa	le or transfer b	islory o	f the sul	bject p	roperty and	i comparab	ic sales	<u>. If nol,</u>	eoplain	·				
H																
H	Manager Andrew Control					4.1										
	My research 🔀 did 🔲 did i		ear any prior s	aics or t	ransiers	OI THE	subject pr	openy for t	hê Uxe	years	prior to	the effective da	te of	his app	oralsal.	
	Data Source(s) Assessor, I			-1 1		-14	-									
	My research 🔀 did 🔲 did r		cal any prior s	ales or t	ransiers	OI IN	comparac	he sales for	the year	r poor	to the c	tale of sale of th	e con	parabi	e sale.	
	Data Source(s) Assessor, i		askaic al the	print na			lates, at th						1 20			
H	Report the results of the research ITEM	Tanua	narysis ur ure er	JBJECT	e or tra	ASIET D	ISIOIY OI U	e subject p	operty	and co	mparac	ne sales (report	2000	onal pr		
ľ	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer		06/22/2006	IDJEC1		- 1.		PARABLE S	HLE #	\rightarrow		OMPARABLE SA	Lt #	_		TABLE SALE #3
•	Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	_					11/22/20			_	05/21/				02/22/2006	•
	Oata Source(s)		\$417,172				445,215	•			3451	263 (TD)				
ł	Effective Date of Data Source(s)		Assessor 07/10/2008				Assessor	<u> </u>							\$281,202 (TD)
ł	ELICEBRE DOLD OF DAILY SOURCE(5)						17140	an.			Asses	sor			Assessor	
	destroit of ories sale of transfer binters of the subject property and community								n.t.		Asses 07/10/	sor /2008			Assessor 07/10/2008	
as given in the gnd of the sales comparison approach,									subje		Asses 07/10/	sor /2008	n so	id with	Assessor 07/10/2008	
ŀ		history	y of the subjec			ompar	07/10/20 able sales		subje		Asses 07/10/	sor /2008	n so	id with	Assessor 07/10/2008	
		history	y of the subjec			ompar	07/10/20 able sales		subje		Asses 07/10/	sor /2008	n so	id with	Assessor 07/10/2008	
		history	y of the subjec			ombsi -	07/10/20 able sales		subje		Asses 07/10/	sor /2008	n so	id with	Assessor 07/10/2008	
		history	y of the subjec			ompar	07/10/20 able sales		subje		Asses 07/10/	sor /2008	n so	id with	Assessor 07/10/2008	
	as given in the grid of the s	history sales	y of the subject comparisor	аррго	oach.	ompar	abie sales	The		ct and	Asses 07/10/ I sales	sor /2008 s has not bee			Assessor 07/10/2008 nin the last 3	s years except as
	as given in the grid of the s	history sales opproa	y of the subject comparisor	bjects	ach.	ompar	able sales	The	enan	ct and	Asses 07/10/ I sales	sor /2008 s has not bee	h the	neigh	Assessor 07/10/2008 nin the last 3	years except as
	as given in the grid of the s Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w	history sales oproa	y of the subject comparison the The subject considered to	bject's	designe mos	ompar In, siz	able sales e, age, a	The	enand	ct and	Asses 07/10/ I sales	sor 2008 5 has not bee	h the	neigi	Assessor 07/10/2008 nin the last 3 nborhood. 1 the subject	years except as
	as given in the grid of the s Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable pu	poroa	y of the subject comparisor the The subject considered to the afternative afternative considered to the con	bject's	designe mos	ompar In, siz	abie sales e, age, (parable alternation	The and maint to the su	enance bject	ct and	Asses 07/10/ I sales el is co e bes	sor /2008 s has not bee ampatible wit I indicators o	h the	neigh	Assessor 07/10/2008 nin the last 3 nborhood. 1 the subject	The closed sales They are all well informed or
	as given in the grid of the s Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable pu well advised purchaser will	oproa rchas	y of the subject comparisor the The subject considered to the atternative no more for	bject's beth	designe mos	ompar In, siz It com Bable	e, age, aparable	The and maint to the sure reflects I acquirin	tenano bject s the e	ct and	Asses 07/10/ I sales el is co e bes nic pr	sor /2008 s has not bee ampatible wit t indicators o inciple of "su able substitu	h the	neigh	Assessor 07/10/2008 nin the last 3 nborhood. The subject whereby a vadiustment	tyears except as the closed sales. They are all well informed or sare an
	as given in the grid of the s Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable pur well advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the ma.	history sales oproa- rene c rchas I pay rket's	y of the subject comparisor the The subject considered like atternative no more for the reaction to the subject control of the subject c	bject's be the s. A i	designemos reason perty the	in, size t companies the compa	e, age, and	The and maint to the sure reflects acquiring enties, not	enance	ct and	Asses 07/10/ I sales el is con e bes nic pr / desir	sor /2008 s has not bee ampatible wit t indicators o inciple of "su able substitu	h the f vali bstiit te. [neigh	Assessor 07/10/2008 nin the last 3 nborhood. the subject whereby a nadjusting and	The closed sales They are all well informed or sare an
	as given in the grid of the s Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable put woll advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the ma- indicates that the appraise	oproa poroa pere c rchas pay rket's	y of the subject comparison the The subject considered the attention to more for the freedom to did not ascert	bject's be the a proj	designer mos	in, size t consiste in the interest to the int	e, age, apparable alternathe cost of the proper or non-e	The and maint to the su we reflects acquiring thiss, not existance	tenance bject s the e q an e neces	ct and ce leve and th sconor squally ssarily	Asses 07/10/ I sales el is con e bes nic pri desir the conity an	sor /2008 s has not bee ampatible wit I indicators o inciple of "su able substitu ost of the diff	h the f valid bstitute. If eren	neigh so for stion" Dollar ce. A	Assessor 07/10/2008 in the last in the last in the subject whereby a adjustment inche note	The closed sales They are all well informed or s are an d'amenity entry are made. Sites
	as given in the grid of the s Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable pu well advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the ma- indicates that the appraise typical in size and utility we	oproavere c rchas I pay rket's r cou	y of the subject comparison the subject comparison to comparison to compare the subject compared to compared t	bject's be the a proj the dif	designe mos reason perty the ference e exist	in, size to contain the in the ance re focus	e, age, age, age, age, age, age, age, ag	The and maint to the su we reflects acquiring thiss, not existance	tenance bject s the e q an e neces	ct and ce leve and th sconor squally ssarily	Asses 07/10/ I sales el is con e bes nic pri desir the conity an	sor /2008 s has not bee ampatible wit I indicators o inciple of "su able substitu ost of the diff	h the f valid bstitute. If eren	neigh so for stion" Dollar ce. A	Assessor 07/10/2008 in the last in the last in the subject whereby a adjustment inche note	The closed sales They are all well informed or s are an d'amenity entry are made. Sites
	as given in the grid of the s Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable pu well advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the ma- indicates that the appraise typical in size and utiny we consideration after market	approarer courser cour	y of the subject comparison the subject comparison to considered the attenuation to creation to creation to did not ascert adjusted adjurzed adjurz	bject's be the a project the diffusion the position of the pos	designe mos reason perty the ference e exist r squa	in, size to contain the in the ance re focus	e, age, age, age, age, age, age, age, ag	The and maint to the su we reflects acquiring thiss, not existance	tenance bject s the e q an e neces	ct and ce leve and th sconor squally ssarily	Asses 07/10/ I sales el is con e bes nic pri desir the conity an	sor /2008 s has not bee ampatible wit I indicators o inciple of "su able substitu ost of the diff	h the f valid bstitute. If eren	neigh so for stion" Dollar ce. A	Assessor 07/10/2008 in the last in the last in the subject whereby a adjustment inche note	The closed sales They are all well informed or s are an d'amenity entry are made. Sites
	Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable puwoll advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the maindicates that the appraise typical in size and utility we considered Value by Sales Comparisonal control of the comparison of the market indicated Value by Sales Comparisonal control of the comparison of the compariso	Approa Ap	y of the subjection and the subj	bject's be the different the d	designe mos reason perty the ference e exist r squa	in, sizest compared to the in	e, age, si parable alternative con non-eo of non-eo of differenticle.	The and maint to the su ve reflects in acquiring microsciples, not visitance inces, In a	tenance bject s the e q an e neces of that estima	ct and ce leve and th econor equally sarily t ame	Asses 07/10/ I sales et is con e bes nic prodesin the conity an	ampatible with indicators of inciple of inci	h the f valid bstitt de. L eren y no	neigh ue for tion" Dollar ce. A adjus parabl	Assessor 07/10/2006 in the last 3 in the las	The closed sales They are all well informed or sare an d' amenity entry e made. Sites
	Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable puwoll advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the maindicates that the appraise typical in size and utility we considered value by Sales Comparindicated Value by Sa	oproa ree c rchas l pay rket's r cou ree no reco reson A	ch The successions adjusted adjusted adjusted adjusted adjusted for proach \$ 3 on Approach \$ 3	object's obethes. A lain the diffusion the structure 10,000 \$ 310	designer most de	in, size to company to the company t	e, age, or parable alternative cost on non-ext differentials.	and maint to the su re reflects I acquirin rities, not visitance onces. In o	tenance bject s the e q an e neces of that estima	ce leve and the conor equally ssarily t amen	Asses D7/10/ I sales el is cone bes mic pr desimic pr the ce market	ampatible with tindicators of inciple of "su able substituted of the difficience of the d	h the f valid bstitute. E feren y no comp	neigh ue for ation" Dollar ce. A adjus parabl	Assessor 07/10/2008 in the last 3 borhood. the subject whereby a vadjustment none note iments were e was giver	The closed sales They are all well informed or s are an d'amenity entry e made. Sites equal
	Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable pur woll advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the maindicates that the appraise typical in size and utility hybical in size and utility to indicated Value by Sales Comparation after market bridicated Value by Sales Comparation according to the consideration of the consideration on the consideration of the consideration o	Approa ere c rchas I pay rket's r cou recon recon recon Sales	ch The successions of the subject of the succession of the success	object's obeth es. A i a proj the dif tain the or thei stmen 10,000 \$ 310 on Ana	designer of the control of the contr	in, se in the in	e, age, apparable alternation of non-eost of differential.	and maint to the su re reflects I acquirin mies, not xistance onces. In o	tenance bject s the s g an e neces of that estimate	ce leve and the conor spearity amen alling m	Assess D7/10/10 I sales el is come bes mic pro desimita en market dot De are reference el	ampatible wit t indicators o able substitu ost of the diff id accordingle value each	h the f value bstitute. If eren y no comp	neighte for alion" Collar ce. A adjusto parable roach	Assessor 07/10/2008 in the last 3 in the last 3 in the subject whereby a valuement none note Iments were e was giver (if developed st Analysis	The closed sales They are all well informed or s are an d'amenity entry e made. Sites equal
	Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable puwoll advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the maindicates that the appraise typical in size and utility we considered value by Sales Comparindicated Value by Sa	Approa ere c rchas I pay rket's r cou recon recon recon Sales	ch The successions of the subject of the succession of the success	object's obeth es. A i a proj the dif tain the or thei stmen 10,000 \$ 310 on Ana	designer of the control of the contr	in, se in the in	e, age, apparable alternation of non-eost of differential.	and maint to the su re reflects I acquirin mies, not xistance onces. In o	tenance bject s the s g an e neces of that estimate	ce leve and the conor spearity amen alling m	Assess D7/10/10 I sales el is come bes mic pro desimita en market dot De are reference el	ampatible wit t indicators o able substitu ost of the diff id accordingle value each	h the f value bstitute. If eren y no comp	neighte for alion" Collar ce. A adjusto parable roach	Assessor 07/10/2008 in the last 3 in the last 3 in the subject whereby a valuement none note Iments were e was giver (if developed st Analysis	The closed sales They are all well informed or s are an d'amenity entry e made. Sites equal
	as given in the grid of the season and season and season and season able purely season and season able purely season and	Approaser courses no sales Approaser corchaser course no recordison Apparison Sales finance	y of the subjection parties of the subjection to	bject's be the s. A is a proj the diff tain the or the stmen 10,000 \$ 310 an Ana as new	designer most reason perty the ference exist r squatts were to 12.000 bysis at the total perturbation of the total perturba	in, size to compare to the compare t	e, age, con parable alternation of non-end differential d	and maint to the su we reflects f acquiring enties, not visilance noces. In a	bject bject g an e g an ece of tha estima	ct and ce level and the conor squally sarily t americans	Asses 07/10/1 I sales el is co el bes nic pr y desir the ce nity an narket	ampatible with a management of the difference of	h the f value bstille feren y no comp e App n. To or int	neigh ue for uion" Oollar ce. A adjus parabl	Assessor 07/10/2008 in the last in the subject whereby a value of the subject whereby a value of the subject in none note iments were e was given (if developed st Analysis tion only.	The closed sales They are all well informed or sare and d" amenity entry e made. Sites equal
NOTION	Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable puwoll advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the maindicates that the appraise typical in size and utility we considerate an after market indicated Value by Sales Comparindicated Value by Sales Comparindicated Value by Sales Comparindicated Value by Fales Comparindicated Value by Fales Comparindicated Value by Fales Comparindicated Value by Fales Comparindicated Value by Sales Comparindicated Value by Sales Comparindicated Value by Fales	Approasers control of the control of	y of the subjection particular to the subject to th	bipiect's be these. A in a properties the different their th	oach. designer moseres moerty the ference exists were to 10,000 dysis a 12 to	in, size to compare to the compare t	e, age, and action of the proper of the prop	and maint to the su ve reflects f acquirin rities, not visitance nces. In a vach (if dev) f buyers a When pro-	tenance bject s the e q an e neces of tha assima reloped	ct and the conormal state of the conormal st	Assession/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/	ampatible with a management of the difference of	h the f value betite Le Ceren y no comp	neigh ue for ution" Ooilar ce. A adjus parabl	Assessor 07/10/2006 in the last in the last in the last in the subject whereby a vadjustment income note was given (if developed st Analysis tion only.	The closed sales They are all well informed or s are an d'amenity entry e made. Sites a equal
	Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable puwoll advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the maindicates that the appraise typical in size and utility we consideration after market indicated Value by Sales Comparindicated Value by Sales C	Approasers court from the court from Approasers from Apparls court from Apparls court from the court from Apparls court from Ap	y of the subjection makes atternative no more for traction to lid not ascer to adjusted fighted adjupproach \$ 3 on Approach \$ 5 Comparise cing of home	o approvided to a project of the difference of t	s designer moser to the control of t	in, size of companies of compan	e, age, and parable alternative cost of the proper of non-eot of different defendation of the old. It and species of a hyperial and species of a hyperial action of the old. It and species of a hyperial and	and maint to the su ve reflects f acquirin nies, not xistance nces. In a pach (if dev f buyers a When pro	tenance bject sthe e g an e necestof that estimated and se the control of the boundary of the	ce levee eand the economic sarity tamen ting m	Assession Assess	ampatible with tindicators of inciple of "su abordingle value each overlop incomplected therein over request wheteal conditions of abordingle value each overlop incomplected therein over request wheteal conditions of abordingles.	h the f value betting the Liferen of the Component of the Liferen or the liferen of the Liferen	neigh pe for production and the control of the co	Assessor 07/10/2008 in the last 3 aborhood. The subject whereby a adjustment none note iments were e was giver (if developed st Analysis tion only.	Ine closed sales They are all well informed or s are an d'amenity entry e made. Sites equal S N/A is not applicable.
	Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis we considered reasonable put woll advised purchaser will will advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the maindicates that the appraise typical in size and utility we consideration after market indicated Value by Sales Compar Indicated Value by: Sales Comparting the maindicated Value by: Sales Comparting the consideration of FHA: This appraisal is made "as i competing require subject to the factories of the competent of the competent of the sales of the competent of the sales of the competent of the sales of th	Approasere conchass I pay rket's recount reconsisting fundamental recon	y of the subjection parts of the subjection to the subject of the	bjects bjects bjects bjects complete comp	a design of desi	in, size to compare to	e, age, se parable alternative cost of this old. If and species of a hyperical value and species of	and maint to the sure reflects of acquiring thies, not social concess. In a concess, the sure reflections or official concess of the sure reflections or official concess of the sure reflections or official concess.	bject sthe equal of the control of t	ce leveled to the control of the con	Assession Assess	ampatible with a coording to value each of the difference of the d	h the f value better be	neigh pe for ntion" Oollar ce. A adjus parabi rroach ne Co forma	Assessor 07/10/2008 in the last 3 in the las	The closed sales They are all well informed or sare and d'amenity entry e made. Sites requal S N/A is not applicable are best Subject to the lade "As Is" and
	Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis we considered reasonable put woll advised purchaser will will advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the maindicates that the appraise typical in size and utility we consideration after market indicated Value by Sales Compar Indicated Value by: Sales Comparting the maindicated Value by: Sales Comparting the consideration of FHA: This appraisal is made "as i competing require subject to the factories of the competent of the competent of the sales of the competent of the sales of the competent of the sales of th	Approasere conchass I pay rket's recount reconsisting fundamental recon	y of the subjection parts of the subjection to the subject of the	bjects bjects bjects bjects complete comp	a design of desi	in, size to compare to	e, age, se parable alternative cost of this old. If and species of a hyperical value and species of	and maint to the sure reflects of acquiring thies, not social concess. In a concess, the sure reflections or official concess of the sure reflections or official concess of the sure reflections or official concess.	bject sthe equal of the control of t	ce leveled to the control of the con	Assession Assess	ampatible with a coording to value each of the difference of the d	h the f value better be	neigh pe for ntion" Oollar ce. A adjus parabi rroach ne Co forma	Assessor 07/10/2008 in the last 3 in the las	The closed sales They are all well informed or sare and d'amenity entry e made. Sites requal S N/A is not applicable are best Subject to the lade "As Is" and
	Summary of Sales Comparison A displayed in the analysis w considered reasonable puwoll advised purchaser will estimate reflecting the maindicates that the appraise typical in size and utility we consideration after market indicated Value by Sales Comparindicated Value by Sales C	Approa Ap	y of the subjection parts of the subjection to the subject of the	bipiect's o be the ss. A i a proj the distain the or their streen 10,000 \$ 310 on Ana as new completite and the completite and	s designed on the second of th	in, size and in the first the in the interview of the int	e, age, and appropriate of the proper of the	and maint to the su ve reflects f acquirin rities, not visitance inces, in a control four four four four four four four four	tenanx bject s the c q an ece of tha estima reloper and se ovided in the b indition incy doe propee propee, of	ce leverand the conormal state of the conorm	Assession Assess	ampatible with a coording to value each of the difference of the d	h the f valid betting the Land on that have	neight of for a factor of the	Assessor 07/10/2008 in the last 3 in the las	The closed sales They are all well informed or sare and d'amenity entry e made. Sites requal S N/A is not applicable are best Subject to the lade "As Is" and

Freddle Mac Form 70 March 2005

Uniform Residentia	al Appraisal Report	File # 0081711
SUBJECT UPGRADES:		
Tile flooring, granite countertops, water softner, reverse osmosis, epoxy	garage floor, covered/concrete pai	tio, synthetic grass, and custom
pool/spa		
COMPARABLE SALES AND LISTINGS:	···-	 -
All sales and pendings utilized within the context of this report are bank p	mnedies which were unavoidable	due to declining market conditions within
the Las Vegas Valley. All sales and pendings utilized are located within o	competing neighborhoods to the su	thiert with homes of similar design
appeal, quality, and marketability. They are a good indicator of value and	adequately support the final value	estimate.
ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE:		
The electronic signature, which has been securely affixed to this report, c	arries the same level of authenticit	y as a traditional signature,
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:		
This appraisal report is not a home inspection, the appraiser only perform	and a recural inspection of conceeds	in groups and that the generical
be relied upon to disclose conditions and/or defects in the property.	iad a visual respection of accessio	e areas and that the appraisal cannot
		·
MARKET CONDITIONS:		
Subject's market area activity is declining at this time. Prices appear to be	e softening due to over supply. C	onventional financing is tightening,
causing a smaller group of qualified buyers. Units typically self within 1-10	80 day time frame if competitively	priced and marketed property. Lone
discounts, interest buydowns, and concessions are typical.		
"Greater Las Vegas Association of Realtors Reports"	-	
Statistics released this month by the Greater Las Vegas Association of R	ealtor show the total number of loc	ral single-lamily homes sold in And wee
1,794. That's up 21.4 percent from 1,478 homes sold in March. For con	dos and townhomes 212 were sol	d in April, up 7.1 percent from 198 sales
in March and down 28.4 percent from April 2007. The median price of a	single-family home sold in Las Vec	gas area decreased by 3.0 percent from
\$243,169 in March to \$235,875 in April. For condos and townhomes, the	median sales price decreased 4.9	9 percent from \$163,000 in March to
\$155,000 in April. That's down 23.3 percent from April 2007. The number	er of local homes listed for sale the	ough April increased 0.8 percent for
single-family homes, with 22,942 homes listed for sale, compared to 22,7	63 homes listed for sale in March.	. That's up 3.1 percent from last April.
The number of condos and townhomes listed for sale increased 1.7 percent from last April.	ent form 5,373 in March to 5,466 in	n April. That's a decrease of 11,5
percent from last Apre.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannle Mae)	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call	cutations.	*
Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods is	for estimating site value)	
		
ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	DOUBLE OF CITE 1/41 15	
Source of cost data	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.FL	=\$ @\$ =\$
Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	Sq.Ft.	
Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)		=\$
	Garage/Carport Sq.Ft.	
	Total Estimate of Cost-New	=\$
	Less Physical Functions	al External
	Depreciation	=\$(
	Depreciated Cost of Improvements	= \$
	"As-is" Value of Site Improvements	= \$
Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUO and VA only) Years	INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	
	E (not required by Fannle Mae)	
Estimated Monthly Market Rent S N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier	N/A = \$ N/A	Indicated Value by Income Approach
Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A	No TUA	высыко чесе от иконе гаризаци
PROJECT INFORMATION	FOR PUDs (if applicable)	a si i
Is the developer/builder in control of the Horneowners' Association (HOA)? 🔲 Yes 🔯	No Unit type(s) 🗵 Detached 🗍	Attached
Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the	HOA and the subject property is an attache	ed dwelling unit.
Legal Name of Project		
Total number of phases Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	Total number of units sold	<u> </u>
	Data source(s)	
Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source		
	No. If Yes, date of conversion.	- "
Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete?		
Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	If No, describe the status of completion.	
Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Are the common elements leased to or by the Homeowners' Association? Yes		

Freddle Mac Form 70 March 2005

Describe common elements and recreational facilities.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

T File # 0081711

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended user, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File# 0081711

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. It have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction, I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report. I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.
- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

Freddle Mac Form 70 March 2005

Page 5 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 1004 March 2005

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File # 0081711

- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgage or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's
 analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal ant/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature
Name
Company Name
Company Address
Telephone Number
Email Address
Date of Signature
State Certification #
or State License #
State
Expiration Date of Certification or License
SUBJECT PROPERTY
1-3
Did not inspect subject property
Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Date of Inspection
Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property
Date of Inspection
COMPARABLE SALES
Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
was well-as automos of combatable calco trout offort
Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Date of Inspection

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report File # 0081711 COMPARABLE SALE #4 FATURE SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #5 COMPARABLE SALE #6 1014 Swingline Street Address 270 Hampton Ridge Court 239 Dominican Avenue 216 Mission Verde Avenue Henderson, NV 89002-9258 Henderson Henderson Henderson Proximity to Subject 0.47 miles NW 0.23 miles N 0.21 miles NW Sale Price N/A 265,000 300,000 299,900 116.61 sq.ft. Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area sq.(1.)\$ 117,19 sq.lt. 117.15 sq.ft. Data Source(s) MLS#812166 DOM 17 MLS # 839666 MLS # 753929 Verification Source(s) APN # 179-31-711-021 APN # 179-31-811-039 APN # 179-31-811-060 DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment Sales or Anancing FHA Finc. FHA Finc. Conventional Concessions 2.8% Sir Cntrb 0 Unknown Unknown Date of Sale/Time 07/07/2008 Cntngnt/Pndng Cntngnl/Pndng Location Suburban Suburban Suburban Suburban Leasehold/Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Site 6,098 SF 6,534 SF 6,098 SF 6,098 SF View Average Average Average Average Design (Style) Conv./Good Conv./Good Conv./Good Conv./Good Quality of Construction Average Average Average Average Actual Age 2006 2005 2005 2005 Condition Good <u>|Good</u> Good Good Total Borms, Baths Total Borms Baths Above Grade Total Borns Baths Total Borms, Baths Room Count 4 2.50 7 4 2.50 6 3 2.50 7 4 2.50 Gross Living Area 2,560 sq.ft. 2.444 so.ft +5,220 2.560 sq.ft 2,560 sq.ft Basement & Finished None None None None Rooms Below Grade N/A Ņ/A N/A N/A Functional Utility Average Average Average Average leating/Cooling GFWA/Cent **GFWA/Cent GFWA/Cont** GFWA/Cent Energy Efficient hems None Known None Known None Known None Known Garage/Carport Garage 3 Garage 3 Garage 3 Garage 3 Porch/Patio/Deck Covered Patio Covered Patio No Patio Covered Patio Baicony, Fireplace No Balc, No FP No Balc, No FP No Balc, No FP No Balc, FP 1 -1.500Int/Ext Features/Upgrades Good Similar Similar Superior -8.500 +20,000 Pool, Spa 25,220 + Pool, Spa Pool, Spa No Pool, No Spai No Pool,No Spa +20,000 Net Adjustment (Total) ⊠ + 🗀 -<u>⊠+ □-</u> 10,000 Adiusted Sale Price Net Adj. 88 % Nel Adi. Net Adj. 3.3 % of Comparables Gross Adj. 8.8 % \$ 310,220 Gross Adj 300,000 Gross Adj. 10.0 % S 309,900 Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #4 COMPARABLE SALE #5 COMPARABLE SALE #6 Date of Prior Sale/Transfer 06/22/2006 03/12/2008 12/01/2005 05/27/2008 Price of Prior Sale/Transfer \$417,172 \$246,750 (TD) \$417,805 \$274,875 (TD) Oata Source(s) Assessor Assessor Assessor Assessor Effective Dale of Data Source(s) 07/10/2008 07/10/2008 07/10/2008 07/10/2008 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject properly and comparable sales Analysis/Comments

Freddle Mac Form 70 March 2005

APPRAISER CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY

NOT TRANSFERABLE

REAL ESTATE DIVISION

NOT TRANSFERABLE

This is to Certify That: TRAVIS GLIKO

Certificate Number: A.0005721-CR

Is duly authorized to act as a CERTIFIED RESIDENTIAL APPRAISER from the issue date to the expiration date at the business address stated here in, unless the certificate is sooner revoked, cancelled, withdrawn, or invalidated.

Issue Date: December 11, 2006

Expire Date: December 31, 2008

In witness whereof, THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, by virtue of the authority vested in it by Chapter 645C of the Nevada Revived Statues, has caused this Certificate to be issued with its Scal printer thereon. This certificate must be conspicuously displayed in place of business.

FOR: DESERT APPRAISALS LLC 6295 MCLEOD DR S (F. 18 LAS VEGAS, NV 89120


RUAL ESTATE DIVISION.

GAIL LANDERSON

Case 08-18330-bam Doc 23 Entered 09/30/08 14:37:07 Page 14 of 21

Building Sketch

Borrower/Client	Howard, Katherine			
Property Address	270 Hampton Ridge Court			
City	Henderson	County Clark	Stale NV	Zip Code 89002-9258
Lender	Howard, Katherine			



2560

(Rounded)

6 Items

(Rounded)


2560

Net LIVABLE Area

Case 08-18330-bam Doc 23 Entered 09/30/08 14:37:07 Page 15 of 21

Plat Map


Borrower/Client	Howard, Katherine			
Property Address	270 Hampton Ridge Court			
City	Henderson	County Clark	State NV	Zip Code 89002-9258
Lender	Howard, Katherine	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ·



Case 08-18330-bam Doc 23 Entered 09/30/08 14:37:07 Page 16 of 21

Location Map

	Howard, Katherine	-	·		
Property Address	270 Hamplon Ridge Court			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
City	Henderson	County Clark	State	NV Zp Code	89002-9258
Lender	Howard, Katherine				




Subject Photo Page

Borrower/Cfent	Howard, Katherine							\neg
Property Address	270 Hampton Ridge Court							
City	Henderson	County Ctar	k	State	NV	Zip Code	89002-9258	
Lender	Howard, Katherine							



Subject Front

270 Hampton Ridge Court Sales Price N/A Gross Living Area Total Rooms 2,560 Total Sedrooms Total Ballyooms 2.50 Location Suburban Average 6,098 SF Average 2006 View Site Quality 40c



Subject Rear



Subject Street

Case 08-18330-bam Doc 23 Entered 09/30/08 14:37:07 Page 18 of 21

PHOTOGRAPH ADDENDUM


Borrower/Cfent	Howard, Katherine	 	 	
Property Addres			 	
City	Henderson	 County Clark	 State NV	Zip Code 89002-9258
Lender	Howard, Katherine	•	 	



Living Room



Kitchen




Family Room


Case 08-18330-bam Doc 23 Entered 09/30/08 14:37:07 Page 19 of 21

PHOTOGRAPH ADDENBUM

Sorrower/Client	Howard, Katherine			
Property Address	270 Hampton Ridge Court			
City	Henderson	County Clark	State NV	Zip Code 89002-9258
Lender	Howard, Katherine			



Pool/Spa View 1



Pool/Spa View 2

Case 08-18330-bam Doc 23 Entered 09/30/08 14:37:07 Page 20.of 2

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower/Client	Howard, Katherine			-
Property Address				
City	Henderson	County Clark	State NV	Zp Code 89002-9258
Lender	Howard, Katherine			



Comparable 1

1158 Calvert Street Prox. to Subject 0.84 miles NW 325,000 Sale Price Gross Uning Area 2,805 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.50 Location Suburban Average 4,792 SF View Site Quality Average


Age



Comparable 2

2006

15 Stunning Summit Avenue Prox. to Subject 0.81 miles NW 320,000 Sale Price Gross Living Area 2,525 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.50 Location Suburban View Average 6,534 SF Sile Quality Average 2005




Comparable 3

226 Patti Ann Woods Drive Prox. to Subject 0.75 miles N Sale Price 300,000 Gross Uving Area 2,617 **Total Rooms** Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.50 Location Suburban View Average Site 6,006 SF Quality Average Age 2002

Case 08-18330-bam Doc 23 Entered 09/30/08 14:37:07 Page 21.c

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower/Client	Howard, Katherine			
Property Address	270 Hampton Ridge Court			
City	Henderson	County Clark	State NV	Z̄p Code 89002-9258
Lender	Howard, Katherine			




Comparable 4

1014 Swingline Street Prox. to Subject 0.47 miles NW Sale Price 285,000 Gross Living Area 2,444 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.50 Location Suburban View Average 6,534 SF Site Quality Average 2005 Age



Comparable 5

239 Dominican Avenue Prox. to Subject 0.23 miles N Sale Price 300,000 Gross Living Area 2,560 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.50 Location Suburban View Average 6,098 SF Site Quality Average 2005 Age



Comparable 6

216 Mission Verde Avenue Prox. to Subject 0.21 miles NW Sale Price 299,900 Gross Living Area 2,560 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.50 Location Suburban View Average Site 6,098 SF Quality Average Age 2005